1	RECEIVED COERAL ELECTION BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION COMMISSION BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION COMM
2 3 4	In the Matter of) 2001 APR 23 P 3: 10
5 6 7	MUR 5796 SHAWN O'DONNELL FOR CONGRESS CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM SENSITIV
8 9 10 11)))
12	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT
13	Under the Enforcement Priority System, matters that are low-rated
14	
15	are forwarded to the Commission with a recommendation for dismissal. The
16	Commission has determined that pursuing low-rated matters compared to other higher rated
17	matters on the Enforcement docket warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to
18	dismiss these cases.
19	The Office of General Counsel scored MUR 5796 as a low-rated matter. In this case,
20	the complainant alleges that the Shawn O'Donnell for Congress committee maintained two
21	websites without reporting any associated expenditures and failed to report expenditures for a
22	production company that travels with the candidate to campaign events.
23	The candidate responded on behalf of his committee by noting that his committee did
24	report the expenditures for the two websites as advertising expenses. ² Furthermore, the
25	candidate claims that his committee did not employ a production company when he traveled
26	

² The response makes a passing reference to the fact that the committee was not always successful in complying with FEC regulations in a complete and timely manner. This Office cannot assess, based on the response, whether the respondent is referring to the timely reporting of the expenditures in the present case or previous failure to file notices stemming from the same election cycle

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Case Closure Under EPS – MU General Counsel's Report Page 2 of 3

1 around his district. Instead, the candidate states that volunteers who possessed their own 2 cameras and video equipment accompanied him. Thus, the committee was not obligated to 3 report the volunteer activity.

In light of the de minimis nature of the allegations presented in MUR 5796 and the fact the candidate committee reported its expenditures related to the maintenance of its two websites, and in furtherance of the Commission's priorities and resources, relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).

RECOMMENDATION

The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss MUR 5796, close the file effective two weeks from the date of the Commission vote, and approve the appropriate letters. Closing the case as of this date will allow CELA and General Law and Advice the necessary time to prepare the closing letters and the case file for the public record.

16 Thomasenia P. Duncan 17 **Acting General Counsel**

18 19 20

21

22

103/00

23 24 25

26

27 28 29 BY: Gregory R. Baker

Special Counsel

Complaints Examination & Legal Administration Case Closure Under EPS – MUK 96 General Counsel's Report Page 3 of 3

1 2 3 4 5 6

Jeff/S. Wordan/
Supervisory Attorney
Complaints Examination
& Legal Administration

8 Attachment:

9 Narrative in MUR 5796

31

Responses filed: September 11, 2006

1 2 3 4 5 **MUR 5796** 6 7 J. Russ Moulton, Jr. Complainant: 8 9 **Respondents:** Shawn O'Donnell for Congress and Anthony Varga, as Treasurer 10 Shawn Michael O'Donnell 11 12 13 14 Allegations: The complainant alleges that the Shawn O'Donnell for Congress committee has maintained two websites without reporting any associated expenditures and has failed 15 to report expenditures for a production company that travels with the candidate to 16 campaign events. The complainant also points out that the campaign committee's 17 website openly advertises a book that Mr. O'Donnell authored with a link to purchase the 18 19 book from an on-line vendor without any evidence that the proceeds from the sales of the 20 book go to charity. 21 22 Response: The candidate responded by noting that his committee did report the 23 expenditures for the two websites as advertising expenses. Furthermore, the candidate claims that his committee did not employ a production company when he traveled around 24 25 his district. Instead, the candidate states that volunteers who possessed their own 26 cameras and video equipment accompanied him. Thus, the committee was not obligated 27 to report the volunteer activity. 28 29 Date complaint filed: August 17, 2006 30